CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
MARK DEAN SCH	VAB	STATE OF FL	ORIDA		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff UNION CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LAN	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, US	·	
(C) Attorney's (Firm Name, Mark Gruber, Peter Cannon, Daphney Gayk 3801 Copporex Park Drive, Suite 210 Tampi (813) 740.3544	Address, and Telephone Number) ord Capital Collateral Regional Counsel, Middle District a, Florida 33619	LAND I Attorneys (If Known) Kenneth Nannelley, Bathara Davin Office of 444 Southeeze Boolevard, 5th Floor Deyrous Beach, Florids 32118	NVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		FF DEF I Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		
		Citizen or Subject of a E Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	O 6 O 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & PERSONAL INJU PERSONAL INJU 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpracti Med. Malpracti Product Liability	RRY	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ft) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Other Statutory Actions ■ 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antirust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statules	
⊠1 Original □ 2 B	e an "X" in One Box Only) Removed from State Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statue under which you 42 U.S.C. section 1983 Brief description of cause:	Reinstated or Sanot	sferred from 6 Multidistify Litigational statutes unless diversity):	n Judement	
	Action seeking declaratory and in				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint:):	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 11/13/2007	SIGNATURE OF	attorney of record			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

MARK DEAN SCHWAB,

Plaintiff,

ν.

JAMES R. MCDONOUGH,
Secretary, Florida Department of
Corrections, and
OTHER UNKNOWN EMPLOYEES

AND AGENTS,
Florida Department of Corrections
Defendants.

CASE NO.	

DEATH WARRANT CASE EXECUTION SCHEDULED FOR THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2007 AT 6:00 PM

Prisoner's name: Prisoner's number: Place of Confinement: Mark Dean Schwab DOC No. 111129 UNION CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION Raiford, Florida

COMPLAINT¹

- 1. This is a civil rights action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the United States Constitution for violations and threatened violations of Plaintiff Mark Dean Schwab's rights to be free from cruel and unusual punishment under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments.
- 2. Mr. Schwab is a death-sentenced Florida prisoner who seeks declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent the Defendants from using Florida's current lethal injection procedures to execute him. The Defendants' improper use of anesthesia as a precursor to

¹ Mr. Schwab has been found to be indigent by this Court and requests that he be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis.

execution unnecessarily risks infliction of severe pain and suffering. In addition, because the chemicals used for execution require the proper induction and maintenance of anesthesia, the Defendants' failure to use medically approved procedures and equipment and properly trained personnel creates an unacceptable risk that Mr. Schwab will suffer excruciating pain during the course of his execution.

JURISDICTION

3. Jurisdiction over this matter arises under <u>42 U.S.C.</u> § <u>1983</u>, <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § <u>1331</u>, <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § <u>1343(a)(3)</u>, <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § <u>2201</u>, and <u>28 U.S.C.</u> § <u>2202</u>.

VENUE

4. Venue is appropriate in the Middle District of Florida under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Mark Dean Schwab is a United States citizen and a resident of the State of Florida. Mr. Schwab is a death-sentenced prisoner currently being held in the custody of the Florida Department of Corrections at the Florida State Prison at Raiford, Florida.
- 6. Defendant James P. McDonough is the Secretary of the Florida DOC. Other Unknown Employees and Agents of the Florida DOC are involved in the development and execution of lethal injections. Plaintiff does not yet know the identity of these persons.

 All of the Defendants are being sued in their individual and official capacities. The named Defendants are citizens and residents of the State of Florida.

JUSTICIABLE CASE OR CONTROVERSY

- 7. There is a real and justiciable case or controversy between the parties.
- 8. Plaintiff Mark Dean Schwab is a death-sentenced prisoner who has been convicted of capital murder in the state courts of Florida.

- 9. The Florida DOC has adopted a written and confidential execution protocol for administering capital punishment by lethal injection.
- 10. Plaintiff challenges the constitutionality of Florida's lethal injection procedures under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 11. Mr. Schwab has filed an administrative grievance process available at Florida State Prison by which death-sentenced prisoners can challenge the procedures to be employed in their execution. His grievance was denied, and he has now exhausted administrative remedies.
- 12. Absent judicial intervention, Mr. Schwab will be executed pursuant to Florida's lethal injection procedures. There is a justiciable case or controversy regarding the constitutionality of Florida's lethal injection procedures.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13. The defendant was convicted of first degree murder and capital sexual battery after a noniury trial and sentenced to death on July 1, 1992.
- 14. The judgment and sentence were affirmed on direct appeal to the Florida Supreme Court. Schwab v. State, 636 So.2d 3 (Fla. 1994) cert. den. 513 U.S. 950, 115 S.Ct. 364 (1994).
- 15. Thereafter, Schwab filed an original motion for postconviction relief, the denial of which was affirmed in Schwab v. State, 814 So.2d 402 (Fla. 2002).
- 16. The denial of Schwab's federal petition for a writ of habeas corpus was affirmed in Schwab v. Crosby, 451 F.3d 1308 (2006) cert. den.127 S.Ct. 1126 (Mem), 166 L.Ed.2d 897.

CAUSE OF ACTION

- 17. The combination of drugs utilized by the State of Florida in its execution protocol unlawfully risks subjecting Mr. Schwab to an excruciatingly painful and torturous death. The first drug, Thiopental (also known as sodium pentothal), is an ultra-short-acting barbiturate that depresses the central nervous system to produce unconsciousness and anesthesia. Thiopental derives its utility from its rapid onset and rapid redistribution through the body at surgical doses. Typically, Thiopental is used in the induction phase of anesthesia to temporarily anesthetize patients for sufficient time to, for example, intubate the trachea.
- 18. If it is necessary to maintain a patient in a surgical plane of anesthesia for longer than just a few minutes, physicians typically use drugs that are longer lasting than Thiopental. If Thiopental is used not only to induce, but also to maintain, a surgical plane of anesthesia, a qualified person must be present to continually monitor the patient to ensure that the Thiopental has been correctly administered (repeated intravenous doses are usually required) and is maintaining the patient in a state of unconsciousness.
- 19. Next, Defendants administer pancuronium bromide, also referred to as Pavulon, which paralyzes voluntary muscles, including the diaphragm. Pavulon does not affect consciousness or the perception of pain. To the extent that the first chemical, Thiopental, is improperly administered and fails to establish and maintain a sufficient plane of anesthesia, the Pavulon serves only to mask from observers (but not the prisoner) the pain and suffering that would attend a paralyzed diaphragm. In addition, the paralysis that Pavulon induces ultimately causes an intense, painful death by asphyxiation. Pavulon

masks the telltale physical signs that would signal a properly trained observer whether or not a prisoner had been sufficiently anesthetized.

- 20. Finally, the drug that is used to fatally poison the prisoner is potassium chloride.

 Potassium chloride disrupts the normal electrical activity of the heart and stops it from pumping blood, thereby causing cardiac arrest. As it travels in the bloodstream from the site of injection towards the heart, potassium chloride activates all the nerve fibers inside the vein, causing a burning sensation as it courses through the body and ravages the internal organs.
- 21. This causes excruciating pain that is agonizing for a recipient who has not been properly anesthetized. Because of this risk of excruciating pain, the use of potassium chloride requires an appropriate anesthesia protocol prior to its administration to ensure an adequate depth of anesthetic plane. However, anesthetic depth cannot be reliably determined during Florida executions because Pavulon blocks an accurate assessment by observers by paralyzing all of the muscles which would otherwise move when a prisoner is in excruciating pain. Because no one can reliably assess anesthetic depth using this process (and make appropriate adjustments), the procedures the Defendants use can result in the extreme terror and suffering of conscious suffocation.
- 22. The American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) states that the use of neuromuscular paralyzing drugs, including pancuronium bromide (Pavulon), solely or in conjunction with other drugs, is unacceptable as a method of euthanasia. The AVMA further states that the use of potassium chloride in a euthanasia protocol requires a surgical plane of anesthesia, which is characterized by loss of consciousness, loss of reflex muscle response, and loss of response to noxious stimuli. The AVMA recommends

the use of a longer lasting barbiturate for animal euthanasia than the Thiopental that is used in Florida executions of death-sentenced prisoners. Florida law also does not authorize the use of neuromuscular blocking agents in animal euthanasia. See Ala. Code 1975 § 34-29-131(a)).

- 23. Defendants do not conduct lethal injections that comport with the appropriate standards of practice for inducing and monitoring anesthesia as a precursor to execution. Nor do Defendants take effective measures to ensure that a prisoner will not suffer a conscious and painful death, constituting cruel and unusual punishment under the current anesthesia procedures.
- 24. Defendants' anesthesia procedures lack medically necessary safeguards and, therefore, substantially increase the risk that an inmate such as Mr. Schwab will suffer unnecessarily severe pain during the course of his execution. For example, there is no standardized time to administer each of the three chemicals. There are no procedures for ensuring that the anesthetic agent is properly flowing into the prisoner, and no procedures for ensuring that the prisoner is properly sedated prior to the administration of other chemicals, as would be required in any medical or veterinary procedure before the administration of a neuromuscular blocking agent (such as pancuronium bromide) or the administration of a painful potassium chloride overdose.
- 25. Defendants' existing procedures do not require the personnel who perform the tasks in the anesthesia and execution processes to have any minimum qualifications or expertise. Defendants do not adequately ensure that the individuals responsible for inducing and maintaining unconsciousness are credentialed, licensed, and proficient in the knowledge, skills, and procedures necessary to establish an appropriate plane of

anesthesia throughout the lethal injection process, notwithstanding the fact that it is a complex medical procedure requiring expertise to be performed correctly.

- 26. The absence of medical personnel who are credentialed, licensed, and proficient in the field of anesthesiology and the lack of adequate procedures greatly increases the risk that a prisoner will not receive the necessary amount of anesthetic prior to being paralyzed by the pancuronium bromide and then experiencing the extremely painful internal "burn" of the potassium chloride, and greatly increases the risk that a conscious prisoner will experience excruciating pain and suffering.
- 27. The Defendants do not have appropriate emergency procedures established, nor do they provide equipment appropriate to deal with emergencies, such as inability to access a peripheral vein.
- 28. The lack of adequate standards for administration of chemicals, the lack of qualifications of the personnel involved in the process, the lack of emergency protocols and equipment, and the combination of the drugs the Defendants use as a precursor to an execution, as well as for the execution, create an unlawful risk that Mr. Schwab will be conscious throughout the execution process and, as a result, will experience an exeruciatingly painful and protracted death.

VIOLATION OF THE RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT PURSUANT TO THE EIGHTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

29. Secretary McDonough, and Other Unknown Employees and Agents of the Florida Department of Corrections are acting under color of Florida law in undertaking to execute Plaintiff Mark Dean Schwab by lethal injection using an insufficient, improperly designed and improperly administered procedure for inducing and maintaining anesthesia

prior to execution; by using chemicals that cause severe pain in the process of causing death; by employing inadequately trained personnel; and by failing to provide emergency plans and equipment, such that Plaintiff will unnecessarily suffer an excruciating death in violation of his right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

30. Although it is possible to conduct executions in a constitutionally compliant manner, Defendants have chosen not to do so. While Defendants could select additional or alternative chemicals and retain qualified medical personnel to administer its chosen chemicals to ensure the constitutionality of its lethal injection procedure, Defendants have failed to do so and have acted with deliberate indifference. Defendants' current lethal injection procedures violate evolving standards of decency. See *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 87, 102 (1976) (noting that the Eighth Amendment requires courts to assess "evolving standards of decency that mark the progress of a maturing society") (quoting *Trop v. Dulles*, 356 U.S.86,101 (1958); *Gregg v. Georgia*, 428 U.S. 153, 173 (1976) (stating that the Eighth Amendment prohibits infliction of unnecessary and wanton pain).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

For these reasons, Plaintiff Mark Dean Schwab respectfully requests this Court to:

- A. Enter a declaratory judgment that Defendants' inadequate anesthesia and execution procedures violate Plaintiff's right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
- B. Grant injunctive relief to enjoin the Defendants from executing Plaintiff with inadequate anesthesia and execution procedures which violate Plaintiff's right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the

United States Constitution;

- C. Grant reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and the laws of the United States, as well as costs of suit; and
- D. Grant any further relief as it deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

*Mark S. Gruber

Florida Bar No: 0330541

Peter Cannon

Florida Bar No. 0109710

Daphney E. Gaylord

Florida Bar No: 0136298

Office of the Capital Collateral

Regional Counsel - Middle Region

3801 Corporex Park Drive, Suite 210

Tampa, fl 33619-1136

(813) 740 3544

* Counsel of Record.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Mark S. Gruber, hereby certify that the foregoing Complaint was served via hand delivery, electronic mail and/or overnight courier on the following counsel for

Defendant:

Kenneth S. Nunnelley Assistant Attorney General 444 Seabreeze Blvd., 5th Floor Daytona Beach, FL 32118-3951

Mark S. Gruber

upplend